1915 pledge in writing of an independent Arab government was as solemn and binding a treaty as any treaty ever entered into by Great Britain. Without any "ifs," "ands" or "buts," Great Britain was bound by that treaty to recognize and support the independence of Arabs throughout the Middle East at the end of World War I.

There is nothing for which Great Britain should feel more ashamed than Great Britain's double-cross of the Arabs of Palestine. The soil pressed by the feet of Jesus Christ in the Holy Land ran with blood from end to end because Great Britain elected to double-cross the Arabs in preference to honoring a treaty entered into by Great Britain as consideration for Arab assistance when Great Britain needed that assistance critically to win World War I.

To Great Britain's disgrace, let it not be forgotten that with 200,000 British troops in Palestine, Great Britain failed to hold the election to create the Government of Palestine for which Great Britain assumed the temporary administration of Palestine in 1920 under Article XXII of the League of Nations Covenant. Great Britain administered Palestine for twenty-eight years with 200,000 British troops stationed in Palestine but never made an attempt to hold that election. Incontestible documentary evidence establishes beyond all question of any doubt that Great Britain never intended to hold that election until Great Britain first created a Zionist majority in Palestine pressured by Zionists to do so.

When Great Britain assumed the tempo-Fary administration of Palestine in 1920, there were 700,000 Christians and Moslems in Palestine, and 50,000 so-called Jews, of whom only about one-half were Zionists. Between 1920 and 1948 Great Britain transplanted 600,000 eastern European so-called Jews of Khazar ancestry into Palestine. In the twenty-eight years between 1920 and 1948 the Christian and Moslem population in Palestine increased from 700,000 to 1,350,000. Great Britain was never successful in creating a Zionist majority in Palestine. With 200,000 British troops stationed in Palestine with which to facilitate transplanting Zionists into Palestine to create a Zionist majority, Christians and Moslems continued to form the majority of the Palestine population between 1920 and 1948 when they were expelled.

Great Britain could not hand Palestine over to Zionists in 1920 for a so-called "Jewish State" with a Christian and Moslem majority. In the twenty-eight years between 1920 and 1948 Great Britain attempted in vain to create a Zionist majority in Palestine. Under pressure from frustrated Zionists, Great Britain turned the problem of creating the Government of Palestine over to the United Nations.

On November 29, 1947 United Nations General Assembly approved a resolution which "recommended" a plan for a Government of Palestine. Under United Nations Charter, Chapter IV, Articles 10 and 11, under "Functions and Powers," United Nations "may" make "recommendations."
United Nations Charter limits United Nations to making "recommendations" in the search for a solution to international wars.

The dishonorable procedure employed in United Nations under Zionist pressure to obtain a two-thirds majority for the November 29, 1947 so-called "Palestine Partition Plan" appears in the Congressional Record of December 18, 1947 on page 1176, where Congressman Lawrence H. Smith then felt it his duty to insert this:

"Let's take a look at the record, Mr. Speaker, and see what happened in the United Nations Assembly meeting prior to the vote on partition. A two-thirds vote was required to pass the resolution. On two occasions the Assembly was to vote and twice it was postponed. It was obvious that the delay was necessary because the proponents did not have the necessary votes. In the meantime, it is reliably reported that intense pressure was applied to the delegates of three small nations by the

United States member and also by officials 'at the highest levels in Washington!' Now that is a serious charge. When the matter was finally considered on the 29th, what happened? The decisive votes were cast by Haiti, Liberia, and the Philippines. These votes were sufficient to make the two-thirds majority. Previously, these countries opposed the move . . . The pressure by our delegates, by our officials, and by the private citizens of the United States constitutes reprehensible conduct against them and against us."

Drew Pearson in his Merry-go-round column invited the animosity of Mrs. Eleanor Roosevelt when he exposed the ultimatum she delivered to President Truman in her vigorous around-the-clock campaign to repay Zionists for past services to her husband. Drew Pearson then goes on to say: "Mrs. Roosevelt was among those who urged Truman to get busy. She informed the President that she would have to resign from the American delegation if partition failed because of State Department fumbling."

In his Merry-go-round column of December 2, 1947, the extremely pro-Zionist Drew Pearson was courageous enough to defy Zionist reprisals when he exposed how President Truman abused his high office to deliver ultimatums to foreign governments to make certain the necessary two-thirds majority in United Nations for approval of the November 29, 1947 socalled "Palestine Partition Plan." Drew Pearson said this about President Truman: "Few knew it, but President Truman cracked down on his State Department harder than ever before to swing the United Nations votes for the partition of Palestine. Truman called Acting Secretary Lovett over to the White House on Wednesday and again on Friday, warning him he would demand a full explanation if nations which usually line up with the United States failed to do so on Palestine. Truman had in mind that such countries as Liberia wholly dependent on the United States; Greece, which would fall overnight without American aid; Haiti, which always follows Washington's lead, and Ethiopia, also indebted to the United States, were stepping out of line on Palestine."

Drew Pearson was playing with fire when he dared to expose in his Merry-goround column how USA political leaders seeking Zionist support in elections in states having 151 of the 531 electoral votes, actually 60% of the electoral vote majority required to elect the President of the USA, degraded the USA by acting as Zionist lobbyists for an international criminal conspiracy. Drew Pearson then said:

"It didn't leak out but one thing that helped turn the tide in favor of the partition vote for Palestine was a telegram from 26 U.S. Senators to 12 United Nations Delegations on the fence. The telegram was drafted by Democratic Senator Bob Wagner of New York and was sent to Haiti, Greece, Luxembourg, Argentina, Columbia, China, El Salvadore, Ethiopia, Honduras, Mexico, the Philippines, and Paraguay. Of these, four countries, Haiti, Paraguay, Luxembourg, and the Philippines finally changed their vote."

Drew Pearson was brave enough to publish in his Merry-go-round column the names of other Zionist protagonists who used their prominence in public affairs to pressure foreign countries to change their votes to make certain the two-thirds majority in United Nations for approval of the November 29, 1947 "Palestine Partition Plan." Drew Pearson then said this: "In the end, a lot of people used their influence to whip voters into line. Harvey Firestone, who owns rubber plantations in Liberia, got busy with the Liberian Government. Adolph Berle, adviser to the President of Haiti, swung that vote . . . China's Ambassador Wellington Koo warned his government . . . French Ambassador Bonnet pleaded with his crisis laden government for partition . . . However, the two

men who swung the most important influences were Foreign Minister Evatt of Australia . . . and his friend Oswaldo Aranha, President of United Nations, both of whom worked together to put across Palestine

Cardinal Spellman may have planted the seeds of World War III when he validated Great Britain's stab in the back of the Arabs in the Holy Land. The news item in The New York Times on May 14, 1964 speaks for itself. The New York Times under the headline, "Spellman aid in getting Israel into United Nations in 1949 is disclosed," revealed the following:

"A leading American Zionist disclosed last night that Cardinal Spellman had an active role in the admission of Israel to the United Nations in 1949. Charles H. Silver said the Cardinal had been influential in swinging several South American delegations to the side of the struggling new nation. Mr. Silver, a close friend of the Cardinal, made the disclosure in introducing the Cardinal at Beth Israel Hospital's 75th anniversary dinner at the Waldorf Astoria Hotel. Mr. Silver is president of the hospital. A former president of the Board of Education and now an executive assistant to Mayor Wagner, Mr. Silver said he had kept the secret of Cardinal Spellman's participation 15 years.

"He said that Rabbi Abba Hillel Silver visited Mr. Silver and asked him to obtain a statement from Cardinal Spellman supporting Israel's cause in United Nations. The same day, on an outdoor walk Mr. Silver said he presented the proposition to the Cardinal. 'I will do something that may mean a great deal more,' the Cardinal is reported to have replied, the Cardinal according to Mr. Silver said he would call on Delegates and persons of importance in the South American bodies and 'share with them his fond wish that Israel be admitted.'

"'The rest,' Mr. Silver said, 'is history. When the vote was taken, Israel became the 59th member of the United Nations winning almost exactly the number of countries to which His Eminence had gone for assistance in our cause.' After his talk Mr. Silver explained that the Cardinal had talked with every South American Delegation and the one from the Philippines."

Thanks to Cardinal Spellman, a representative of the so-called "State of Israel" is sitting today in the seat in United Nations that should be occupied today by the representative of the Arab Government of Palestine. If Cardinal Spellman had not used the prestige and power of his position in the Catholic Church to compel admission of the so-called "State of Israel" to United Nations, the so-called "State of Israel" would today have the status of any armed uprising in authority in the territory they now occupy like any other armed uprising.

(TO BE CONTINUED)

READ AND BE INFORMED

3 years _____

7.00

Common Sense. UNION, NEW JERSEY, U.S.A.

CANADA CANADA FOREIGN 1ST CLASS 2ND CLASS \$5.00 \$3.00 2 years _____ 8.00 5.00

10.00

Lifetime _____ 50.00 Foreign 1st Class — \$10.00 per year BULK COPIES - SAME or ASSORTED

Published twice monthly except July and August; CHRISTIAN EDUCATIONAL ASSN. 530 Chestnut Street, Union, N. J. 07083